

apl. Prof. Dr.-Ing. Catherina Thiele

AG Experimenteller Massivbau

Paul-Ehrlich-Straße
Gebäude 14
67663 Kaiserslautern

Telefon: +49 (0)631 205-3833

Telefax: +49 (0)631 205-3555

catherina.thiele@bauing.uni-kl.de

www.massivbau-kl.de

Project Number: EBB 170019_46en

Purpose: Assessment of resistance under fire exposure of the
Sikla Injection system VMU plus

Client: Sikla Holding GmbH
Kornstraße 4
4614 Marchtrenk
Austria

Contact: Catherina Thiele
Tel: +49 631 205 3833

Date: 19.01.2022

apl. Prof. Dr.-Ing. Catherina Thiele

Table of contents

1. General	3
2. References	3
3. Product Description	3
4. Evaluation Scope	3
5. Fire Resistances	4

1. General

The Technische Universität Kaiserslautern had been authorized by Sikla Holding GmbH to evaluate the fire resistance of the Sikla Injection system VMU plus. This report is based on the test reports of MPA Braunschweig [3]. The fire tests and their evaluation were executed according to DIN EN 1363-1:2012 [2] and [1].

The fire resistances (listed in Table 1) are based on the test results of a one-sided fire exposure of an uncracked concrete slab. The evaluation in this report is based on TR 020 [1].

2. References

- [1] Evaluation of Anchorages in Concrete Concerning Resistance to fire, EOTA TR 020, Edition May 2004
- [2] Feuerwiderstandsprüfungen – Teil 1: Allgemeine Anforderungen, DIN EN 1363-1; Edition Oktober 2012
- [3] Test Report (3290/0966)-NB dd. 06/03/2008 ; iBMB Braunschweig; deposited at the TU Kaiserslautern.
- [4] ETA-15/0270 from 17 December 2021, Sikla Injection system VMU plus for concrete, Sikla Holding GmbH.

3. Product Description

The Product is described in [4].

4. Evaluation Scope

The fire resistance evaluation of the Sikla Injection system VMU plus is based on the executed fire tests. The anchors were installed upside down to simulate the real situation of a ceiling and stressed by the uniform temperature curve fire test (UTC) according to [2]. In all tests, a fixture was used based on TR020 [1], therefore the following fire resistance evaluation applies only for anchors which are protected (in a comparable manner to the used fixture in the fire test) against the temperature increase during a fire case.

The fire tests were executed on an uncracked concrete slab.

The evaluation was executed depending on TR020 [1].

Nut failures, fracture of the anchor rod and pull-out failures occurred in the tests.

5. Fire Resistances

The following table shows the decisive fire resistances $N_{Rk,fi}$ of a one side fire exposure in uncracked concrete with tensile loading (minimum strength class C20/25). The given fire resistances $N_{Rk,fi}$ apply for a single anchor under tensile load with an edge distance greater than $c_{cr} = 2 h_{ef}$ and a spacing of at least $s = 2 c_{cr} = 4 h_{ef}$ to the adjacent anchor. By keeping the mentioned edge distances and spacing, a concrete cone failure is not relevant. The given values apply for anchor rods with a strength class of at least 5.8 (EN 1993-1-8:2005+AC:2009). The same fire resistances can be assumed for threaded rods of stainless steel (A4) and high corrosion resistant steel (HCR) with a strength class of 70 (EN ISO 3506-1:2009).

If the edge distance c is chosen in a way, that steel failure / pull-out is determined in the fire design, the following load values can be also applied on anchors under shear load.

Table 1: Fire resistance $N_{Rk,fi}$ of Sikla Injection system VMU plus in uncracked concrete slab

Fire resistance $N_{Rk,fi}$ in [kN]	Anchor Sizes	M8	M10	M12	M16	M20	M24	M27	M30
	Minimum embedment depth $h_{ef,min}$ [mm]	≥ 80	≥ 90	≥ 110	≥ 125	≥ 170	≥ 210	≥ 250	≥ 280
Fire resistance duration t_u [min]	30	1,6	2,6	3,4	6,2	9,8	14,0	18,3	22,3
	60	1,1	1,8	2,6	4,8	7,5	10,8	14,1	17,2
	90	0,6	0,9	1,8	3,4	5,3	7,6	9,9	12,1
	120	0,3	0,5	1,4	2,7	4,2	6,0	7,9	9,6